



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/519,709

12/30/2004

Karsten Emrich

045956-0104

5474

22428 7590 10/02/2008  
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP  
SUITE 500  
3000 K STREET NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20007

EXAMINER

ROSATI, BRANDON MICHAEL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3744

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/02/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/519,709	<b>Applicant(s)</b> EMRICH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BRANDON M. ROSATI	<b>Art Unit</b> 3744	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 September 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/15/2008 has been entered.

### ***Claim Objections***

2. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: The word "in" (line 2, word 3) should be - -is - -. Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 1-8, 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groves et al. (International Pub. WO 01/98723 A1) in view of Jones (U.S. Patent No. 1,732,938).

Regarding claims 1 and 2 Groves et al. disclose as shown in Figure 10c, a first collecting vessel with a media connection (i.e. inlet) (233), and a second collecting vessel with a media connection (i.e. outlet) (234), which are connected to one another by heat exchanger element (i.e. tubes) (266) for a first medium. It is noted that 233 and 234, respectively, show a combined connector and collection vessel, which are referred to by the same reference number. In addition, they show the inlet and outlet for the first fluid media. Also, Groves et al. disclose a housing (220), which allows a second medium to pass through the interior and has two media connections

Art Unit: 3744

(i.e. flange) (226). Furthermore, Figure 2 shows a collecting vessel accommodated in the interior of the housing at a distance from an inner wall of the housing. It is noted that the flange is part of where the second media enters the housing. Furthermore, the housing (220) completely accommodates both collection vessels within its interior (as per claim 2) (Figures 10c, 11, and 12 and pages 16-17). Groves et al. does not disclose the housing that is approximated to be a bone shape that has two thick portions and a relatively thin portion between two thick portions. However, Jones discloses in Figures 1 and 2, a ventilator (i.e. heat exchanger) having a housing which is approximated to be a bone shape that has two thick portions and a relatively thin portion between two thick portions (Lines 59-73). Hence, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Groves et al. with a housing which is approximated to be a bone shape that has two thick portions and a relatively thin portion between two thick portions as taught by Jones because the fans of Jones create an area in which the flow is restricted much like the collection vessels of Groves et al., thus by utilizing the bone shaped concept of Jones, the medium will be able to pass much easier through the heat exchanger and thus increase the overall efficiency of the device.

Regarding claim 3, Groves et al. disclose a first second media connection (226), with a first collecting vessel (233) located in between the first second media connection (226) and the heat exchanger element (266). Furthermore, Groves et al. disclose the other second media connection (226), with a collection vessel (234) located in between the other second media connection (226) and the heat exchanger element (266) (Figures 10c and 11).

Regarding claim 4, Groves et al. disclose a first medium entering collection vessel (233) (portion within the housing), flowing in a transverse direction, particularly at a right angel

Art Unit: 3744

through heat exchanger element (266) and exiting through collection vessel (234) (portion within the housing) (Figure 10c).

Regarding claim 5, Groves et al. disclose second media connections (226) pointing in the same direction as the flow, which is passing through heat exchanger elements (266) (Figure 10c).

Regarding claim 6, Groves et al. disclose first media connections (portions outside of the housing of 233 and 234) that point in a transverse direction, in particular at a right angle with respect to the flow of the first medium through the heat exchanger element (266) (Figure 10c).

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Groves et al. disclose first media connections (portions outside of the housing of 233 and 234) that point and are aligned in the direction of the longitudinal extent of the collection vessels (portion within the housing of 233 and 234) (Figure 10c).

Regarding claim 13, Groves et al. disclose all the structural features (see claim 1 above), which would allow for the heat exchanger to function as a counter flow heat exchanger. It is noted that the second media can enter or exit the heat exchanger through either of the connections (226). If the first media enters via collection vessel (234) and exits through collection vessel (233), the second media traveling through the heat exchanger from connection (226) closest to the vessel (233) and exiting the connection (226) near the vessel (234) the heat exchanger would function as a counter flow heat exchanger (Figure 11).

Regarding claim 14, Groves et al. disclose all the structural features (see claim 1 above), which would allow for the heat exchanger to function as a counter flow heat exchanger. It is noted that the second media can enter or exit the heat exchanger through either of the connections (226). If the first media enters via collection vessel (234) and exits through collection vessel (233), the second media traveling through the heat exchanger from connection

Art Unit: 3744

(226) closest to the vessel (234) and exiting the connection (226) near the vessel (233) the heat exchanger would function as a co-current heat exchanger (Figure 11).

Regarding claims 15 and 16, MPEP 2114 clearly states "While features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus **must be** distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. Because claims 15 and 16 fail to further limit the apparatus in terms of structure, but rather only recite further functional limitations, the invention as taught by Groves et al. is deemed fully capable of performing such function (i.e. being utilized as a charge air cooler for motor vehicles or utility vehicles).

Regarding claims 17 and 18, MPEP 2114 clearly states "While features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus **must be** distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. Because claims 17 and 18 fails to further limit the apparatus in terms of structure, but rather only recite further functional limitations, the invention as taught by Groves et al. deemed fully capable of performing such function (i.e. laminar flow through the heat exchanger). Furthermore, the flow through the heat exchanger (i.e. laminar or turbulent) depends on a variety of parameters such as velocity, Reynold's Number, etc... and varying these parameters would produce the desired flow sough by one of ordinary skill in the art.

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groves et al. (International Pub. WO 01/98723 A1) in view of Jones (U.S. Patent No. 1,732,938) in further view of Hayashi et al. (U.S. Pub No. 2003/0010479).

Regarding claim 10 it is noted that the combined teachings of Groves et al. and Jones disclose all the claimed limitations except having the walls of housing bearing snugly against the

Art Unit: 3744

heat exchanger element. However, Hayashi et al. disclose walls of housing bearing snugly against the heat exchanger element (11) (Figure 1A). Hence, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined teachings of Groves et al. and Jones with the housing bearing snugly against the heat exchanger element of Hayashi et al. because this would allow for increased efficiency within the heat exchanger.

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groves et al. (International Pub. WO 01/98723 A1) in view of Jones (U.S. Patent No. 1,732,938) in further view of Kale (U.S. Patent No. 6,659,170 B1).

Regarding claim 11 it is noted that the combined teachings of Groves et al. and Jones disclose all the claimed limitations except a section of the housing forming a housing section for a fan. However, Kale discloses a housing for a fan (26) within the main housing (11) (Figure 1 and column 5, lines 35-45). Hence, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined teachings of Groves et al. and Jones with additional housing for the fan of Kale because the fan would increase the efficiency of the heat exchanger as well as create an overall more compact unit.

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groves et al. (International Pub. WO 01/98723 A1) in view of Jones (U.S. Patent No. 1,732,938) in view of Kale (U.S. Patent No. 6,659,170 B1) and further in view of Guatelli et al. (French Pub. No. 2605685).

Regarding claim 12 it is noted that the combined teachings of Groves et al., Jones and Kale disclose all the claimed limitations except the fan housing embodied as a helical housing.

Art Unit: 3744

Guatelli et al. disclose a housing for a helical fan. (Figure 1). Hence, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined teachings of Groves et al., Jones and Kale with the helical fan housing of Guatelli et al. because the helical shape of the housing would increase the fan efficiency as well as create an overall more compact unit.

### ***Response to Arguments***

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 10-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRANDON M. ROSATI whose telephone number is (571)270-3536. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am- 4:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler or Frantz Jules can be reached on (571) 272-4834 or (571) 272-6681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would



Art Unit: 3744

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BMR

9/25/2008

/Cheryl J. Tyler/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit  
3744